IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 31ST JANUARY 2007 / 11TH MAGHA 1928

Con.Case(C).No. 1593 of 2006(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.8607/2006 Dated 08/06/2006

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

C.H.SAROJINI AMMA,
AGED 65 YEARS, W/O.LATE PADMANABHAN NAMBIAR,
MADATHIL HOUSE, CHERUVANCHERRI P.O.,
VIA.CHITTARIPARAMBA, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.C.P.PEETHAMBARAN

RESPONDENT/1ST RESPONDENT:

MR.T.P.SENKUMAR,
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
MANAGING DIRECTOR,
THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.PRABHAKARAN, SC, K.S.R.T.C.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

C.C.(C) NO.1593 OF 2006
IN
W.P.C. NO. 8607 OF 2006 Y

Dated this the 31st January, 2007

JUDGMENT

By the Judgment in the Writ Petition, this Court directed that a decision should be taken on the representation to be filed by petitioner and any amount found due to petitioner on the basis of such decision should be disbursed to her within a period of two weeks. A Statement is filed on behalf of the respondent. The allegation in the Contempt of Court Case is that the Judgment has not been complied with. In the Statement, it is stated, inter alia, as follows:

> "2. It is submitted that as directed by this Honourable Court, the representation of the petitioner received on 22.06.2006 was examined in detail and finding it difficult to locate the service details and the provident fund account details of the petitioner's late husband, at this distance of time, the petitioner was requested vide letter No.PF

CL2/012232/2006 dated 26.06.2006 to produce certain documents for verification and further action. The petitioner did not produce the said records till 29.12.2006. Hence the representation of the petitioner was disposed of vide letter No.PFCL2-01 2232/2006 dated 30.12.2006. True copy of the said letter addressed to the petitioner is produced as **Annexure R1(a).** In the circumstances stated in the said letter dated 31.12.2006, petitioner was informed that the claim will be settled as and when necessary documents including the proof of subscription towards Provident Fund and Staff Welfare Fund produced by the petitioner."

2. Today, when the matter came up, learned counsel for petitioner would submit that petitioner will make available certain particulars. Learned standing counsel submits that the respondent will look into it and take appropriate decision in accordance with law. Recording the same, the Contempt of

Court Case is closed without prejudice to the right of petitioner to take appropriate steps if she is aggrieved by the decision.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.